

BACHELOR THESIS EVALUATION: THESIS ADVISOR

Thesis topic: International Responsibility and Prosperity: Economic Paradigm in Responsibility to Protect

Author: Markus Formel

Advisor: Clarissa Tabosa

Study program: Political Science, Liberal Arts

Evaluation contains objective and critical analysis of a bachelor thesis proposal. Evaluation should be considered by the following criteria:

Criteria for the evaluation of the final thesis	Max. points	Points given by evaluator
1. Methodological aspect (logical frame, process of inquiry, topic specification, how realistic are set goals and how adequate are proposed working methods)	10	8
2. Sources of domestic and foreign literature, Familiarity with relevant literature	15	15
3. Formatting and style	15	12
4. Scope and proportionality of content	5	5
5. Systematic approach	15	12
6. Evaluation of achieved results	40	40
Total	100	92

Final evaluation: A (95-100 points), B (83-94 points), C (68-82 points), D (55-67 points), E (50-54 points), Fx(<50 points)

Evaluation, comments, recommendations of the thesis advisor:

Markus Formel's thesis presents a compelling and thought-provoking argument that contributes significantly to the theoretical debate on the principle of Responsibility to Protect (R2P) in International Relations. His main idea and theoretical argument are well-formulated and thoroughly developed throughout the paper, demonstrating a deep understanding of the subject matter and his great ability to articulate complex ideas. His contribution to the theoretical debate is, for me, the greatest contribution of this thesis. The student has effectively synthesized a range of existing research and theoretical frameworks to present a novel and original perspective that expands the concept of R2P by bringing it to the economic realm. His argument that bad economic policies causes equally severe human suffering as serious international crimes is convincing, especially by looking at the case of Venezuela.

Nevertheless, I would like to draw attention to some areas that could have been improved in his work. Firstly, the methodological aspect could have been more clearly articulated and more rigorously implemented. By applying more methodological rigor in terms of data selection he could have improved the validity and reliability of his findings. Secondly, the formatting and style of the thesis could have been more consistent and polished (e.g. aligning margins). Overall, I believe the thesis is a good piece of academic writing that demonstrates, most of all, a high level of critical thinking and engagement with the subject matter.

I recommend – do not recommend this thesis toward bachelor thesis defense in June 2023.

In Bratislava, on: 10.05.2023 (date)

Signature of evaluator: talerc